

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	Case Number <u>OR - 06 - 70094</u> RS ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Fernando hopes-Caballes Defendant.	ORDER OF DETENTION FENDING TRIAL
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act. 18 U.S.C.	. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on 4/6,
Defendant was present, represented by his attorney $\boldsymbol{\beta}$.	The United States was represented by
Assistant U.S. Attorney S. Pricht.	. The officed blates was represented by
PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APPLICABLE	
	ribed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been
convicted of a prior offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 31426	f)(1) while on release pending trial for a federal, state or local
offense, and a period of not more than five (5) years has ela	apsed since the date of conviction or the release of the person f
imprisonment, whichever is later.	· ·
This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no co	ondition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
safety of any other person and the community.	
/ / There is probable cause based upon (the indicti	ment) (the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the
defendant has committed an offense	
A for which a maximum term of impriso	onment of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. § 801 et
seq., § 951 et seq., or § 955a et seq., OR	FII Pure
B under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c): use of a fire	earm during the commission of a felony.
This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no co	ondition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the community.
ppearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the	e community.
/X/ No presumption applies.	MODELERIK CONTROLLER
PART II. REBUTTAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE	WORTHERN OF THE PARTY
	evidence to rebut the applicable presumption[s], and he therefore
vill be ordered detained.	
/ / The defendant has come forward with evidence	to rebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit:
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United S	
PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR I	
vill reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.	ce of the evidence that no condition or combination of condition
rill reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the	incing evidence that no condition or combination of condition
ART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF	
	out in 18 U.S.Ç. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitte
thearing and finds as follows: The designation is	out in 18 U.S.Ç. § 5142(g) and an of the information submittee
Manager to the distance of the state of the	That de bourse to the ban of the day
Almer Romale Gross Land days of the	restationed two terram mix dominant
unitations. He has a senter	bleval or Day at in with ay 14
DU Hermination and squeed	Sunstatements West, new thorn
	Augustinous agree Hur
and My/1ctions Complying with	
and Unvictions. Complying with	supervision is a providence.
and Unvictions. Complying with	ved written findings.
// Defendant, his attorney, and the AUSA have wait	ved written findings.
/ Defendant, his attorney, and the AUSA have wait	ved written findings. ey General or his designated representative for confinement in

of the United States or on the request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver

the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL United States Magistrate Judge